Quantitative Study of Flavonoids in Leaves of Citrus Plants

Satoru Kawaii,^{†,‡} Yasuhiko Tomono,[†] Eriko Katase,[†] Kazunori Ogawa,[†] Masamichi Yano,^{*,†} Meisaku Koizumi,[†] Chihiro Ito,[§] and Hiroshi Furukawa[§]

National Institute of Fruit Tree Science, Okitsu, Shimizu, Shizuoka, 424-0204, Japan, and Faculty of Pharmacy, Meijo University, Tempaku, Nagoya, 468-8503, Japan

Leaf flavonoids were quantitatively determined in 68 representative or economically important *Citrus* species, cultivars, and near-*Citrus* relatives. Contents of 23 flavonoids including 6 polymethoxylated flavones were analyzed by means of reversed phase HPLC analysis. Principal component analysis revealed that the 7 associations according to Tanaka's classification were observed, but some do overlap each other. Group VII species could be divided into two different subgroups, namely, the first-10-species class and the last-19-species class according to Tanaka's classification numbers.

Keywords: *Citrus; near-Citrus relatives; flavonoids; demethylnobiletin; HPLC; principal component analysis*

INTRODUCTION

Citrus plants are of great interest because their fruits and leaves accumulate large amounts of flavonoid glycosides, whose aglycones are early intermediates in the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway. In recent literature on Citrus flavonoids, a broad spectrum of biological activity including anticarcinogenic and antitumor activities has been discussed (Attaway, 1994; Sugiyama et al., 1993; Benavente-García et al., 1997). Naringenin and hesperetin widely distribute in Citrus species as their glycoside form; namely, naringin, neohesperidin (neohesperidosides), narirutin, and hesperidin (rutinosides). These flavanone glycosides are the four most abundant flavonoids in the edible part of many species of Citrus fruits (Kawaii et al., 1999a, 1999b). The Citrus flavonoids greatly influence the quality of both the fresh fruit and processed products. Hesperidin is a significant component of the cloud in lemon and orange juice (Nishimura et al., 1998), and naringin plays a major role in the bitterness of Citrus fruits (Ranganna et al., 1983; Sinclair, 1984).

On the other hand, polymethoxylated flavones are the characteristic feature of *Citrus* plants, although they are minor components that are mainly associated with the oil gland of the peel flavedo (Chen et al., 1997). Polymethoxylated flavones are reported to have many important bioactivities. For example, nobiletin has a potent inhibitory activity on cyclic adenosin monophosphate phosphodiesterase (Nikaido et al., 1982), an antifungal activity against *Deuteriophoma tracheiphila* which causes a destructive disease of *Citrus* trees (Pinka et al., 1968), and a suppressing activity of the production and gene expression of matrix metalloproteinase-9/gelatinase B (Ito et al., 1999) which plays an important role in transference of cancer cells. Tangeretin

[§] Meijo University.

has an antiproliferative activity against HL-60 promyelocytic leukemic cells (Hirano et al., 1995; Kawaii et al., 1999c). Polymethoxylated flavones are generally more potent inhibitors of tumor cell growth than the corresponding polyhydroxylated flavones. This difference in activity may be due to greater membrane uptake of the polymethoxylated flavones (Kandaswani, 1991).

Numerous quantitative studies (Vandercook and Stevenson, 1966; Albach and Redman, 1969; Ting et al., 1979; Park et al., 1983; Gaydou et al., 1987) on *Citrus* flavonoids have been conducted since the major flavonoids of Citrus were identified in the late 1950s and 1960s by Horowitz and Gentili (Horowitz, 1956; 1957; Horowitz and Gentili, 1960a; 1960b; Gentili and Horowitz, 1964). Few studies, however, have been conducted with the aim of finding composition parameters that could establish similarity among Citrus cultivars by means of not only flavanones, flavanone glycosides, flavones, and flavone glycosides, but also by means of polymethoxylated flavones. In the previous paper, we reported quantitative analyses of 24 major flavonoids including five polymethoxylated flavones, in edible parts of various Citrus fruits (Kawaii et al., 1999a; 1999b). Principal component analysis revealed that the distributions of *Citrus* fruits belonging to different classes were largely in accordance with Tanaka's classification system (Tanaka, 1969).

In this study, we quantitatively estimated leaf flavonoids of various *Citrus* species, cultivars, and near-*Citrus* relatives. Contents of 24 flavonoids, including six polymethoxylated flavones(namely, sinensetin, nobiletin, natsudaidain, 3,3',4',5,6,7,8-heptamethoxylflavone, demethylnobiletin, and tangeretin), have been quantified by high performance liquid chromotography (HPLC) analysis. Principal component analysis (PCA) has been done in order to precisely evaluate the influence of taxa classification on flavonoid composition. The aim of the study is to carry out a comprehensive flavonoid analysis of *Citrus* plants to contribute to a better understanding of the genetic relationship in *Citrus* and related genera by flavonoid profile.

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +81-543-69-2115. E-mail: ym6082@okt.affrc.go.jp.

[†] National Institute of Fruit Tree Science.

[‡] Present address: Tokyo Denki University, Hatoyama, Saitama, 350-0394, Japan. Fax: +81-492-96-5162. E-mail: kawaii@b.dendai.ac.jp.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Flavonoids. The flavonoids used in this study are apigenin (APG), diosmin (DSM), eriocitrin (ERC), hesperidin (HSP), isorhoifolin (IRHF), kaempferol (KMP), naringenin (NGEN), naringin (NGIN), narirutin (NRTN), neodiosmin (NDSM), neoeriocitrin (NERC), neohesperidin (NHSP), neoponcirin (NPNC), poncirin (PNC), rhoifolin (RHF), and rutin (RTN), and they were purchased from Funakoshi (Tokyo, Japan); and luteolin (LTN) and quercetin (QCT) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Tokyo, Japan). 3,3',4',5,6,7,8-Heptamethoxy-flavone (HPT), natsudaidain (NTD), nobiletin (NBL), and tangeretin (TNG) were isolated from King juice (*Citrus nobilis*) (Kawaii et al., 1999a).

Leaf samples. Leaves of 68 taxonomically representative *Citrus* and related genera were collected at random from adult trees at the National Institute of Fruit Tree Science, Okitsu, Shimizu, Shizuoka, Japan in December 1997. The sample of leaves was divided into three replicates consisting of five leaves. The freeze-dried leaves were ground, and 100 mg of the sample was extracted with 1 mL of MeOH-DMSO (1:1) 3 times. The extracts were combined and filled up to 5 mL by MeOH. A 5- μ L portion of the combined extracts was injected to HPLC. HPLC analysis of flavonoids was done primarily according to the method described in the literature (Vandercook and Tisserat, 1989). Analytical conditions included the following column: TSK gel Super-ODS, particle size 2 µm, 10 $cm \times 4.6 mm$ (i.d.) (Tosoh, Tokyo, Japan). Mobile phase; the gradient elution program consisted of an initial 2 min of 80% 0.01 M H₃PO₄ and 20% MeOH, followed by a linear gradient to 55% 0.01 M H_3PO_4 and 45% MeOH in 43 min, and was used for analysis of ERC, NERC, RTN, NRTN, NGIN, HSP, IRHF, NHSP, RHF, DSM, NDSM, KMP, and APG. For analysis of polymethoxylated flavones (namely, SNT, NBL, NTD, HPT, DNBL, and TNG), isocratic elution (mobile phase; 50% 0.01 M H₃PO₄ and 50% MeOH) was done to obtain better peak separation. Another isocratic elution (mobile phase; 70% 0.01M H₃PO₄ and 30% MeOH) was used for QCT, NGEN, LTN, NPNC, and PNC analysis. Under these conditions, the peaks were reasonably separated to integrate peak area. Assignment of flavonoids was basically done on the co-chromatographed peak by examination of changes in the chromatogram and UV spectrum detected by photodiode array detector. Concentrations of the compounds were calculated from integration peak areas of the sample and the corresponding authentic standards. The UV diode array detector was set to measure spectra from 200 to 400 nm and the eluent was monitored at 285 nm for flavanones and 360 nm for flavones and polymethoxylated flavones.

Statistical Analysis. The quantitative data set, which was composed of values taken from HPLC analysis of the leaves of 68 *Citrus* species, cultivars, and near-*Citrus* relatives, was used for principal component analysis. The statistical analysis program JMP (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) was used to calculate the data, and the graph software Kaleida Graph (Synergy Software, Reading, PA) was used to plot the results from principal component analysis. Each principal component was calculated by taking a linear combination of an eigenvector of the correlation matrix with a standardized original variable.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isolation and Identification of Demethylnobiletin. We reported the isolation of four polymethoxylated flavones from King (*Citrus nobilis*) juice as differentiation-inducing compounds toward HL-60 promyelocytic leukemic cells (Kawaii et al., 1999a). These compounds shared the most part of flavonoids in the region of polymethoxylated flavones of *Citrus* juices as indicated by HPLC analysis. However, a considerable amount of another polymethoxylated flavone, which was hardly observed in *Citrus* juices, was detected in various *Citrus* leaves. In the following experiment to obtain the unknown polymethoxylated flavone, Shikikitsu (*C. madurensis*) leaves were used.

The fresh leaves (450 g) of Shikikitsu grown in the orchard of the National Institute of Fruit Tree Science, Okitsu, Shimizu, Shizuoka, Japan, was extracted with ethanol at room temperature (\times 3), and the combined extracts were evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in ethanol. The soluble portion was submitted in silica gel column chromatography eluted by benzene, benzene–isopropyl ether (3:1, 1:3), acetone, and methanol. The acetone elution was chromatographed over silica gel with isopropyl ether–acetone (10:1, 5:1, 4:1, 2:1, 1:1) to give five fractions. Further treatment by preparative thin-layer chromatography gave the unknown peak compound from isopropyl ether–acetone (10:1) fraction.

This compound had the molecular formula C₂₀H₂₀O₈ as determined by HREIMS (observed m/z 388.1166, calcd. for $C_{20}H_{20}O_8,\,\Delta+$ 0.8 mmu). The UV absorptions at λ_{max} 252, 284, and 337 nm were consistent with a flavonoid having a hydroxyl group (Voirin, 1983). The ¹H NMR spectrum revealed resonances for five aromatic methoxyl groups, one phenolic hydroxyl proton (which was strongly hydrogen bonded), one olefinic proton, and three aromatic protons. Coupling constants of these three aromatic protons were characteristic of a 1,3,4trisubstituted benzene ring. ¹H- and ¹³C NMR data were reminiscent of nobiletin. The positions of substituents at ring B were deduced by NOE correlations between H-3/H-2', H-6', 3'-OCH₃/H-2', and 4'-OCH₃/H-5'. One hydroxyl group could be placed at C-5 because of its deshielded position. From these data, this unknown peak compound was identified as demethylnobiletin (Figure 1). The chemical shifts of ¹H- and ¹³C NMR were unambiguously assigned from the HMQC and HMBC spectra (Table 1). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the complete NMR signal assignment of demethylnobiletin (DNBL).

Quantitation of Flavonoid Contents in *Citrus* **leaves**. All of the *Citrus* leaves studied in this paper were collected in the same field and year and, therefore, were produced under the same conditions of climate to reduce this additional source of variance. Table 2 summarizes the quantitative determination of the major flavonoids in 68 representative and/or economically important *Citrus* leaves according to Tanaka's classification (Tanaka, 1969).

HSP was the most abundant flavonoid in the *Citrus* samples studied, followed by RTN and DSM; whereas NBL is the most widely distributed flavonoid (detected in 57 samples). No HSP, or only a small amount, was found in the samples from group IV, several species belonging to group V (namely, Natsudaidain (*C. natsudaidai*), Kawano Natsudaidai (*C. natsudaidai*), Sanbokan (*C. sulcata*), and sour orange (*C. aurantium*)), group VIII, kumquats (*Fortunella crassifolia, F. japonica, F. margarita*), and trifoliate orange (*Poncirus trifoliate*).

Most *Citrus* fruits and leaves can be classified by their glycosilation pattern as either predominately neohesperidosyl flavonoids, such as RHF, NGIN, and NHSP, or predominately rutinosyl flavonoids, such as HSP, DSM, and NRTN (Albach and Redman, 1969; Kanes et al., 1992; Tsuchida et al., 1997). To study the distribution of the rutinosyl-flavonoid predominant species and the neohesperidosyl-flavonoid predominant species, the scores for HSP (as a representative of rutinosyl flavonoids) and NGIN (as a representative of neohesperi-



flavanones

Eriocitrin (ERC):R=rutinosyl, R¹=OH, R²=R³=H Neoeriocitrin (NERC): R=neohesperidosyl, R¹=OH, R²=R³=H Narinutin (NRTN): R=rutinosyl, R¹=R²=R³=H Naringin (NGIN):R=neohesperidosyl, R¹=R²=R³=H Hesperidin (HSP) : R=rutinosyl, R¹=OH, R²=Me, R³=H Neohesperidin (NHSP): R=neohesperidosyl, R¹=OH, R²=Me, R³=H Naringenin (NGEN) : R=R¹=R²=R³=H Neoponcirin (NPNC) : R=rutinosyl, R¹=H, R²=Me, R³=H Poncirin (PNC) : R=neohesperidosyl, R¹=H, R²=Me, R³=H



flavones

Rutin (RTN): R=H, R¹=OH, R²=H, R³=O-rutinose Isorhoifolin (IRHF): R=rutinosyl, R¹=R²=R³=H Rhoifolin (RHF): R=neohesperidosyl, R¹=R²=R³=H Diosmin (DSM): R=rutinose, R¹=OH, R²=Me, R³=H Neodiosmin (NDSM): R=neohesperidosyl, R¹=OH, R²=Me, R³=H Quercetin (QCT): R=H, R¹=OH, R²=H, R³=OH Luteolin (LTN): R=H, R¹=OH, R²=R³=H Apigenin (APG): R=R¹=R²=R³=H



polymethoxylated flavones

Sinensetin (SNT): R=H, R¹=OMe, R²=H, R³=OMe Nobiletin (NBL): R=R¹=OMe, R²=H, R³=OMe 3,3',4',5,6,7,8-Heptamethoxyflavone (HPT) :R=R¹=R²=R³=OMe Natsudaidain (NTD): R=R¹=OMe, R²=OH, R³=OMe 5-Demethylnobiletin (DNBL): R=R¹=OMe, R²=H, R³=OMe Tangeretin (TNG): R=OMe, R¹=R²=H, R³=OMe

Figure 1. Structures of the flavonoids.

dosyl flavonoids) are plotted as a scatter diagram in Figure 2. Figure 2 clearly demonstrates the mutually exclusive relationship between HSP and NGIN content. The highest amount of HSP was found in Bilolo (*C. montana*, group II), and the high-HSP-containing species are largely in group VII. On the other hand, the

Table 1.	Chemical	Shifts,	HMBC,	and	NOE	Correla	tions
of Demet	hylnobile	tin in C	DCl ₃				

	& 13C	δ^{1} H		
position	(multiplicity ^a)	$(Inultiplicity, J_{H-H})$	HMBC	NOE
2	164.0 (s)			
3	104.0 (d)	6.62 (s)	C-2,4,10,1'	H-2′,6′
4	183.0 (s)			
5	149.6 (s)			
6	136.6 (s)			
7	153.0 (s)			
8	133.0 (s)			
9	145.8 (s)			
10	107.0 (s)			
1′	123.8 (s)			
2′	108.8 (d)	7.43 (d, 2)	C-2,4',6'	
3′	149.4 (s)			
4'	152.5 (s)			
5′	111.3 (d)	7.01 (d, 8)	C-1′,3′	
6′	120.2 (d)	7.60 (dd, 2, 8)	C-2,2',4'	
5-OH		12.54 (s)	C-5,6,10	
6-OCH ₃	61.2 (q)	3.96 (s)	C-6	
7-OCH ₃	61.8 (q)	4.11 (s)	C-7	
8-OCH ₃	62.1 (q)	3.984 (s)	C-8	7-0CH3
3'-OCH3	56.0 (q)	3.99 (s)	C-3′	
4'-OCH ₃	56.2 (q)	3.979 (s)	C-4′	H-5′

^a Multiplicities were established by an HMQC experiment.

highest amounts of NGIN were found in group IV, such as Hirado buntan (*C. grandis*), Shaten yu (*C. pauban*), and Marsh grapefruit (*C. paradisi*). There were some exceptions that contained a considerable amount of both HSP and NGIN. These species, which had mixed glycosilation patterns (indicated as R/N in Table 2) were mostly species of the IV and VI groups, and several species belonging to the group V. The mixed-glycosilation species in group IV are likely hybrids between pummelo and mandarin (Swingle, 1943); the results of comprehensive study on the inheritance of rutinosylflavonoid and neohesperidosyl-flavonoid alleles has been reported already (Albach and Redman, 1969; Kanes et al., 1993).

Evaluation of the coefficients of correlation between HSP and other flavonoids and between NGIN and other flavonoids (see Table 3 in Supporting Information) indicates that HSP content positively correlates with the flavonoids possessing rutinose as a sugar moiety, namely RTN (0.22), IRHF (0.22), and DSM (0.69), whereas NGIN has a positive correlation with the flavonoids possessing neohesperidose as a sugar part, namely NERC (0.15), RHF (0.45), and NDSM (0.23).

NBL was the most abundant polymethoxylated flavone, and the highest concentrations of it were observed in Obeni mikan (*C. tangerina*, group VII), Cleopatra (*C. reshni*), and Dancy tangerine (*C. tangerina*, group VII). NTD content is of great interest because NTD has the most potent antitumor (Kawaii et al., 1999d) and differentiation-inducing activities (Kawaii et al., 1999c).

Previously we have reported that *Citrus* species can be classified by their pattern of polymethoxylated flavones in the edible part of fruits, i.e., the high-NBL-TNG species and the high-HPT-NTD species (Kawaii et al., 1999b). The significance of correlation has been shown between NBL and TNG, and between NTD and HPT in leaves (see Table 3 in the Supporting Information). Similarly strong correlation was observed among NBL, DNBL, and TNG contents in *Citrus* leaves (NBL– DNBL, r = 0.85; NBL–TNG, r = 0.90; DNBL–TNG, r= 0.81). Content of NTD and HPT in leaves also positively correlated, but the correlation coefficient was

TNG	0	1.6	0	13.7	0	131.1	4.4	0.1		1.8	1.0	7.0	1.7	25.4	7.7	4.5	18.1	6.9	7.2	8.9	23.7	18.9	23.6	16.6 6 0	0.0		83.4	1.3	4.5	0	5.3	36.9	18.4	16.4	8.8	9.7 0.9	4.0 70 F	181	138.8	39.8	233.0	217.9	349.9	322.7	318.3	67.0	753.6	4/4.6	3/1.0
DNBL	4.2	0.9	0	65.1	2.8	52.4	2.2		, -	2.2	2.5	4.9	2.0	19.1	5.2	3.9	10.0	4.5	19.9	23.5	9.9	16.0	0.0 7	0.7	27.77 19 E	0.41	0 85.4	0	4.6	0	5.3	44.5 20.9	3.1	8.0	8.6	8.1	17.1	1.11	30.6	5.4	192.4	151.0	398.6	285.1	270.0	67.4	372.9	90.6	243.3 114.5
UTD	2.1	1.6	1.6	0	1.3	0	0.0 0	0.7	50	2.2	1.6	1.7	1.6	2.0	0	1.2	0	1.8	2.0	0	0	0	0	1.7	0.1	9 O.9	5.9	1.5	1.4	1.7	1.6		1.9	0	1.8	0 %	10.9	7.0T	- 0	, o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	-	0 0
HPT	0	3.3	0	9.6	0	0	1.4 0	0.7		0.6	0	1.8	0.8	5.8	0	0.7	3.5	0	0	0	0	7.1	6.8	4.0			0 0	0	5	0	2.4	0 17 ג	25.9	10.8	5.3	4.7	10.01	10.9	12.1	14.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0 0
NBL	0	0	0	115.9	0.5	58.8	9.2	2.0		3.6	5.0	18.2	2.0	43.7	15.3	10.4	24.2	20.6	30.8	38.7	21.4	52.6	68.4	16.9	7.10		132.7	1.2	12.2	0	7.02	73.5	31.0	43.5	21.3	26.5 20.6	50.0	10.1	134.4	67.3	354.8	371.3	708.6	913.5	876.4	137.6	726.8	8.010	328.4 328.4
SNT	0	0	10.0	14.3	0	9.3	1.Z			0	0.8	2.8	0	4.3	7.8	1.5	0	0	19.8	24.3	°	0	8.8 8.8	0 0			19.9	0	0	0	0.8	45.8	3.5	17.6	5.3	6.7 4.6	6.1 1	- ° °	0.0	6.9	23.1	30.2	39.1	79.9	74.0	46.4	7.97	2.17	11.1 32.7
APG	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0			0 0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0 0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0 0
PNC	0	0	1.7	0	0	0	0 0			3.0	1.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.5	0	0	0	0 0			0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0 0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	-	0 0
NPNC	0	0	0	0	0.7	2.5	1.2	r. 2 O		3.2	0	0	0.7	2.5	0.6	0.8	0	0	0	0.8	1.1	0.8	0	0 0			0.0	0	0	1.5	2.1	I.8	0	0	0.8	0.6				, o	0.7	0.7	2.6	1.0	1.1	1.4	1.9	0.0	0 0
NGEN	0	0	0	0.7	0	0 0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0 0	0.0 90	0.0	0 0	0	0	0	0	\. 0	0 0	0	0	0 0				0	0.4	0	0.4	0.5	0	0	0.4	0	0.4
QCT	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0			0 0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0 0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0 0
(N)	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		50.3	0.00	0	0	63.2	32.9	0	77.9	61.6	0	36.8	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0.00	20.0 21.4	*·10	38.6	54.5	0	62.8		0	0	0	0 0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0 0
DSM N (R)	124.7	57.2	0	1331	204.0	327.0	220.2	0.000		0	0	99.1	0	43.1	0	47.4	196.7	0	163.2	206.9	213.3	90.9	108.9	127.8	0.021 07 0	0.10	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 230.9	131.0	324.3	293.6	322.4 235.0	15.0	79.0	119.4	49.6	229.7	308.5	458.0	359.6	339.2	253.0	420.6	60.9	ыи. <i>r</i> 342.5
RHF (N)	0	0	309.0	0	0	0 0	0 0	562.1	414.7	352.9	0	0	0	0	166.6	110.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0			0 0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0 0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	-	0 0
UF1	0	0	0	193.9	0	0 0	0 280.6	0.00		0	0	129.5	0	0	0	0	342.8	234.0	204.8	260.2	169.1	203.0	290.2	131.7			0 0	0	0	55.7	0 0	0 00	194.7	155.7	358.0	317.6 203.3	0.000	1701	226.4	84.0	150.9	223.1	368.0	113.2	0	128.6	268.4	43.5	101.9
HSP (N)	0	0	0	0	0	0 0				0	0	539.6	340.5	0	123	529.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0 0	268 Q	593.6	60.1	344.7	0	198.8		0	0	0	0 0				, o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0 0
(R) (R)	97.7	410.8	0	0	142.7	121.5	134.3	0.171		91.8	113.8	0	0	590.4	55.1 1	0	78.5	0	233.6	283.6	147.4	0	0	418.1	102.4		00	0	0	0	0 0	U 193 D	252.9	231.9	217.8	285.2 100 0	60 1 BO 1	71 1	99.3	0.00	64.7	129.6	112.2	161.7	130.0	420.8	143.3	00 7	89.7 0
I (R)	53.4	0.00	0	174	0	90.2	c.c01			0	0	0	44.9	0	0	0	79.3	0	98.6	41.2	50.1	356.3	301.5	348.9 z	0.210	0.110	11.9	0	31.3	0	05.6	C.90	191.6	336	373 2	167	1071	14.5	00.5	63.8 063.8	181	339	363	38	85	202	986 2	0.950 79.0	313.6
CIN (S)	0	0	0	0 27	0	0	0 C	076	155.8	102.2	378.8	0	0	0	347.2	93.7	0	59.6	0	0	0	0	0	305.0 367 3	2 0.102	04.6	34.5	0	0	382.3	0	520.3 0 15	0	0 23	0 18	0 24				0	0 14	0 12	0 12	0 15	0 21	0	0 0		~ • •
RTN N (R)	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0 10	0	80.5	00.5	0	0	29.3	0	0	73.2	0	0	0	0	15.0	84.8	0 0	7.07		0 0	0	0	0	0	81.8 •	0 0	0	0	0 0			0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0 0
NI NI N	54.0	53.7	0	87	91.3	41.1	69.3 21	; 0	, c	0	05.1 1	0	0	75.0 8	0	0	97.4 1	0	15.1	12.5	95.6	81.5	55.3 î	1077	וויט I אהה I	±0.0	50.3	75.6	55.0	0	43.8 r	0 169 10	⁷ .0	0	0	0 87 3	<u>.</u>		29.8	0	0	0	59.9	7.7	98.3	85.1	42.3		0 0
N) C R	0	0	16.5	0 153	0 23	0 0	0 0	73.7		0	0 10	13.9	18.3	0	27.4	25.1	0 29	17.6	0 2	0 2	0	0	0	0 0			0 54.6	12.5	0 2	0	00	-	0	0	0	ء 0			0 0	0	0	0	0	0 3(0 23	0	ñ o o		0 0
z ນູລ	0.0	0	0 2	0	0.0	1.1	0 0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.4	0 2	0	0	0	0	0				3.4 1	3.8	0	0	0		0	0	0	0 0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		. 0
u EF	25				9	16	113										19										25	co			L L	20																	
glycosilatic pattern ^b	R	R	Z	R	Я 1	R t	<u>х</u> р	a Z	ΖZ	R/N	R/N	R/N	R/N	R	R/N	R/N	R	Z	R	R	R	R	R	R/N D/M	D/N	N/N D/N	R/N	R/N	R/N	Z	R/N	R/N P	4 24	R	R	2 2	4 0	4 04	4 24	: 22	R	R	R	R	R	ЯI	2	2 0	r r
conventional name	Tahiti lime	Sweet lime	Bergamot	Bilolo	Eureka lemon	Rangpur lime	Meyer lemon Lumia	Hirado huntan	Shaten vii	Marsh GF	Red blush	Kinukawa	Hassaku	Tengu	Natsudaidai	Kawano natsudaidai	Sanbokan	Sour orange	Valencia	Morita navel	Oto	Iyo	Miyauchi iyo	Hyuganatsu	VIIIIIKOUKAII	Multabu yaran	Muhahu yuzu Hanavu	Sudachi	Mochiyu	Ichang lemon	Kabosu	Koural tachibana King	Kunenbo	Unshu	Sugiyama unshiu	Wase unshiu Obiten wase	Vatencino Vatencino	I aususu u Karaii	Kahuchi	Oto	Ponkan	Ota ponkan	Mediterranean mandarin	Obenimikan	Dancy tangerine	Clementine	Jimikan	Shikaikan Toobihono	racnipana Kobeni mikan
scientific name	C. latifolia	C. limettioides	C. bergamia	C. montana	C. limon	C. limonia	C. meyeru C. humia	C. grandis	C. nanuhan	C. paradisi	C. paradisi	C. glaberrima	C. hassaku	C. tengu	C. natsudaidai	C. natsudaidai	C. sulcata	C. aurantium	C. sinensis	C. sinensis	C. sinograndis	C. iyo	C. iyo	C. tamurana	C. SIIUIIKOUKAII	C. junos	C. hanavu	C. sudachi	C. inflata	C. wilsonii	C. sphaerocarp	C. nippokorean C. nobilis	C. nobilis	C. unshu	C. unshu	C. unshu	C vatencino	C karaii	C. keraii	C. oto	C. reticulata	C. reticulata	C. deliciosa	C. tangerina	C. tangerina	C. clementina	C. succosa	C. suhuiensis	C. erythrosa C. erythrosa
Tanaka's no.	<u>11-4-14</u>	II-4-15	II-5-17	II-6-29	III-8-36	III-8-37	111-8-40 111-9-48	IV-10-56	IV-10-61	IV-11-62	IV-11-62	IV-11-63	IV-12-74	IV-12-76	V-13-78	V-13-78	V-13-84	V-14-93	V-16-100	V-16-100	V-16-101	V-16-105	V-16-105	V-17-107	V-19-112 V/1 91-112	$VI_{-91} - 113$	VI-21-113 VI-21-114	VI-21-115	VI-21-116	VI-21-120	VI-21-121	VI-22-122 VII-93-193	VII-23-123	VII-23-124	VII-23-124	VII-23-124	VII-93-154	VII-24-126	VII-24-126	VII-24-127	VII-25-130	VII-25-130	VII-25-131	VII-25-133	VII-25-133	VII-25-134	VII-25-136	VII-25-140	VII-20-144 VII-26-144

rus Species ^a
rrious Cit
of the Va
n Leaves
Constituents in
Flavonoid (
Table 2.

DNT	378.4	368.8	358.(119.8	478.9	212.6	263.8	195.6	226.1	71.6	0	0	0	0	oside
DNBL	217.2	196.4	110.6	164.4	64.7	10.2	43.7	286.2	173.1	15.7	0	0	0	0	in glyc ds).
NTD	0	3.6	1.3	2.3	0	2.3	2.7	1.3	1.7	1.0	1.4	1.0	1.4	0.8	pigen vonoi
HPT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	bly aj vl fla
NBL	619.9	645.7	425.5	634.5	906.0	125.1	360.5	279.9	478.6	147.1	0	0	0	0	possi ridos
INS	80.2	78.5	67.1	457.9	88.3	10.4	30.3	31.4	49.7	17.5	0	0	0	0	noids, hespe
APG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	330.5	175.8	252.9	0	l flavo N, nec
PNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ntified noid;
NPNC	0.7	0	0.5	1.3	2.2	1.9	0	1.9	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	unider 1 flavo
NGEN	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. UF1: utinosy
QCT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	4.2	0.7	0	ected (R, r)
NDSM (N)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71.6	0	0	0	0	ot det e type
DSM (R)	466.6	271.2	300.7	405.5	530.3	102.9	154.7	444.5	340.6	0	0	0	0	0	m. 0: r nixtur
RHF (N)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	174.3	628.2	473.3		73.0	colum R/N, 1
UF1	0	0	0	0	219.6	0	47.7	258.4	179.7	0	0	0	0	0	HPLC noid;]
(N) (N)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	P-18 F
IRHF (R)	94.9	51.9	82.7	192.0	168.7	0	117.2	215.5	73.5	0	0	0	0	0	n an R ridosy
HSP (R)	1213	1010	1141	1039	1074	341.4	1113	145.0	504.7	0	0	0	0	19.2	order o ohespe
NGIN (N)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	84.4	213.8	0	0	0	0	36.3	ntion o tly ne
NRTN (R)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ng rete minar
RTN (R)	332.4	206.2	198.4	368.7	301.4	41.2	106.8	514.8	167.8	0	0	0	0	38.7	creasi , predc
NERC (N)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	d in ir oid; N
ERC (R)	0	0	0	0	0	55.1	0	750.0	207.2	0	0	0	0	0	e. Liste flavon
glycosilation pattern ^b	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R/N	R/N	Z	Z	Z	Z	R/N	lried sample ly rutinosyl
conventional name	Hirakishu	Mukaku kishu	Sokitsu	Sunki	Cleopatra	Giri mikan	Shiikuwasha	Koji	Fukure mikan	Shikikitsu	Neiha kumquat	Round kumquat	Naga kumquat	Trifoliate orange	in µg/100 mg of c R, predominantl
scientific name	C. kinokuni	C. kinokuni	C. kinokuni	C. sunki	C. reshni	C. tardiva	C. depressa	C. leiocarpa	C. tumida	C. madurensis	F. crassifolia	F. japonica	F. margarita	P. trifoliate	les are given ion pattern:
Tanaka's no.	VII-26-145	VII-26-145	VII-26-145	VII-26-148	VII-26-149	VII-26-150	VII-27-153	VIII-27-154	VII-27-155	VIII-28-159	102 - 302	102 - 303	102 - 304	103 - 401	^a All valı ^b Glycosilat

Table 2. (Continued)



Figure 2. Relationship between HSP and NGIN contents. Symbols: Δ , group II; \bigcirc , group II; \Diamond , group IV; \square , group V; \blacktriangle , group VI; \blacksquare , group VII; \blacklozenge , kumquats and Trifoliate orange, according to Tanaka's classification. Open symbols indicate Archicitrus and closed symbols indicate Metacitrus.



Figure 3. Relationship between NBL and NTD contents. Symbols: Δ , group II; \bigcirc , group II; \diamond , group IV; \square , group V; \blacktriangle , group VI; \blacksquare , group VII; \blacklozenge , kumquats and Trifoliate orange.

much lower (r = 0.13) than that found in the edible part of *Citrus* fruits (r = 0.48) (Kawaii et al., 1999b).

To study distribution of the high-NBL-TNG species and the high-HPT-NTD species, the scatter diagram for NBL and NTD content in *Citrus* leaves was plotted (Figure 3). This graphic shows that high-polymethoxylated-flavone-containing species belong largely to group VII according to Tanaka's classification. Figure 3 shows the peculiarity of Yatsusiro (*C. yatsusiro*), which contained the highest amount of NTD. However, we could not demonstrate differentiation between the high-NBL-TNG species and the high-HPT-NTD species in leaves.

Principal Component Analysis. Principal component analysis has been done in order to differentiate *Citrus* plants based on their flavonoid profile. KMP and LTN were excluded from PCA, because no leaf sample contained these flavonoids. The data for the 23 flavonoids, including an unidentified flavonoid (UF1), were used to perform PCA, which can reduce the dimensionality of a set of data. The eigenvalues are 5.20, 2.50, 2.27, 2.04, 1.67, 1.27, 1.22, and 1.01 (Table 4 in the Supporting Information). Choosing only eigenvalues >1



Figure 4. Projection of scatter diagram from a principal component analysis. (A) PC1 vs PC2; (B) zoom of the plot of PC1 vs PC2. Symbols: Δ , group II; \bigcirc , group III; \diamond , group IV; \Box , group V; \blacktriangle , group VI; \blacksquare , group VII; \blacklozenge , group VIII; \blacklozenge , kumquats and Trifoliate orange.

led to the reduction of 23 variables to 8 principal components (PC), according 74.7% of the total variability. The percentages of variance for the four principal components are 22.6% for the first one, 10.9% for the second one, 9.9% for the third one, and 8.9% for the last one.

Factor loadings (Table 5 in the Supporting Information) indicate the relative extent to which each original variable contributes to the variance contained in each principal component. With first principal component (PC1), four polymethoxylated flavones (i.e., SNT (0.22), NBL (0.28), DNBL (0.24), and TNG (0.27)) are positively correlated. RHF (0.26) and two free flavones (i.e., QCT (0.38) and APG (0.39)) are positively correlated with the second principal component (PC2). The third principal component (PC3) is positively correlated with PNC (0.23) and HPT (0.20), and negatively correlated with two neohesperidosyl flavonoids (i.e., NHSP (-0.38) and NDSM (-0.38)). The fourth principal component (PC4) is strongly correlated with three rutinosyl flavonoids (i.e., NRTN (0.62), IRHF (0.23), and NPNC (0.25)).

The scores for the first two PCs are plotted as a scatter diagram in Figure 4A. This graphic shows that the distribution of *Citrus* species belonging to different classes can be observed. This graphic shows that seven associations according to Tanaka's classification ap-

peared to be separate, but that some do overlap each other. Interestingly, group VII species could be divided into 2 different subgroups, named VIIa and VIIb on the basis of flavonoid profiles. Subgroup VIIa consists of the first 10 species of the VII group (i.e., King (VII-23-123), Kunenbo (VII-23-123), Unshu (VII-23-124), Sugiyama unshu (VII-23-124), Wase unshu (VII-23-124), Okitsu wase (VII-23-124), Yatsusiro (VII-23-125), Keraji (VII-24-126), Kabuchi (VII-24-126), and Oto (VII-24-127)), whereas subgroup VIIb contained the remaining last 19 species of group VII (i.e., Ponkan (VII-25-130), Ota ponkan (VII-25-130), Mediterranean mandarin (VII-25-131), Obenimikan (VII-25-133), Dancy tangerine (VII-25-133), Clementin (VII-25-134), Jimikan (VII-25-136), Shikaikan (VII-25-140), Tachibana (VII-26-143), Kobeni mikan (VII-26-144), Hirakishu (VII-26-145), Mukaku Kishu (VII-26-145), Sokitsu (VII-26-145), Sunki (VII-26-148), Cleopatra (VII-26-149), Girimikan (VII-26-150), Shiikuwasha (VII-27-153), Koji (VII-27-154), and Fukuremikan (VII-27-155)), according to the Tanaka's classification number. Separation of the VIIa and VIIb subgroups is also observed in a scatter diagram plotting the scores for the third (PC3) and fourth (PC4) principal components (Figure 4B). Peculiarities of Natsudaidai and Kawano Natsudaidai, which is a variation of Natsudaidai, could be explained by hybridity with sour orange (C. aurantium) (Swingle, 1943).

Citrus taxonomy is one of the most complex taxonomies, because of hybridization, apomixis, and many centuries of cultivation. These compositional data may contribute to information toward *Citrus* genetics and inheritance. Yamamoto et al. (1998) studied variety difference of limonoid (bitter-taste component) contents in 54 *Citrus* cultivars, and reported that the pummelos and the pummelo-like miscellaneous contained high amounts of limonoids in their fruits. The flavonoid profiles presented herein seemed to be independent of the limonoid contents; therefore, principal component analysis based on the contents of not only flavonoids but also limonoids would give us more comprehensive results on *Citrus* chemotaxonomy.

Supporting Information Available: Tables containing the correlation coefficient matrix, principal components and eigenvectors, and factor loadings and communality values. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

LITERATURE CITED

- Albach, R. F.; Redman, G. H. Composition and inheritance of flavanones in citrus fruit. *Phytochemistry* **1969**, *8*, 127–143.
- Attaway, J. A. Citrus juice flavonoids with anticarcinogenic and antitumor properties. In *Food Phytochemicals for Cancer Prevention I*; Maple Press: York, PA, 1994; pp 240– 248.
- Benavente-García, O.; Castillo, J.; Marin, F. R.; Ortuño, A.; Del Río, J. A. Use and properties of *Citrus* flavonoids. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1997**, *45*, 4506–4515.
- Chen, J.; Montanari, A. M.; Widmer, W. W. Two new polymethoxylated flavones, a class of compounds with potential anticancer activity, isolated from cold pressed Dancy Tangerine peel oil solids. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1997**, *45*, 364– 368.
- Gaydou, E. M.; Bianchini, J.-P.; Randriamiharisoa, R. P. Orange and mandarin peel oils differentiation using polymethoxylated flavone composition. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1987**, *35*, 525–529.

- Gentili, B.; Horowitz, R. M. Flavonoids of citrus-VII. Limocitrol and isolimocitrol. *Tetrahedron* **1964**, *20*, 2313–2318.
- Hirano, T.; Abe, K.; Gotoh, M.; Oka, K. Citrus flavone tangeretin inhibits leukaemic HL-60 cell growth partially through induction of apoptosis with less cytotoxic on normal lymphocytes. *Br. J. Cancer* **1995**, *72*, 1380–1388.
- Horowitz, R. M. Flavonoids of citrus. I. Isolation of diosmin from lemons (*Citrus limon*). J. Org. Chem. **1956**, 21, 1184– 1185.
- Horowitz, R. M. Flavonoids of citrus. II. Isolation of a new flavonol from lemons. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1957**, *79*, 6561–6562.
- Horowitz, R. M.; Gentili, B. Flavonoid compounds of Citrus. III. Isolation and structure of eriodictyol glycoside. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960a, 82, 2803–2806.
- Horowitz, R. M.; Gentili, B. Flavonoids of citrus. IV. Isolation of some aglycones from the lemon (*Citrus lemon*). J. Org. Chem. 1960b, 25, 2183-2187.
- Ito, A.; Ishiwa, J.; Sato, T.; Mimaki, Y.; Sashida, Y. The citrus flavonoid nobiletin suppresses the production and gene expression of matrix metalloproteinase-9/gelatinase B in rabbit synovial cells. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1999**, *878*, 632–634.
- Kandaswami, C.; Perkins, E.; Soloniuk, D. S.; Drzewiecki, G.; Middleton, E., Jr. Antiproliferative effects of citrus flavonoids on a human squamous cell carcinoma *in vitro*. *Cancer Lett.* **1991**, *56*, 147–152.
- Kanes, K.; Tisserat, B.; Berhow, M.; Vandercook, C. Phenolic composition of various tissues of Rutaceae species. *Phytochemistry* **1993**, *31*, 967–974.
- Kawaii, S.; Tomono, Y.; Katase, E.; Ogawa, K.; Yano, M. HL-60 differentiating activity and flavonoid content of the readily extractable fraction prepared from *Citrus* juices. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1999a**, *47*, 128–135.
- Kawaii, S.; Tomono, Y.; Katase, E.; Ogawa, K.; Yano, M. Quantitation of flavonoid constituents in *Citrus* fruits. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1999b**, *47*, 3565–3571.
- Kawaii, S.; Tomono, Y.; Katase, E.; Ogawa, K.; Yano, M. Effect of citrus flavonoids on HL-60 cell differentiation. *Anticancer Res.* **1999c**, *19*, 1261–1269.
- Kawaii, S.; Tomono, Y.; Katase, E.; Ogawa, K.; Yano, M. Antiproliferative activity of flavonoids on several cancer cell lines. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **1999d** *63*, 896–899.
- Nikaido, N.; Ohomoto, T.; Sankawa, U.; Hamanaka, T.; Totsuka, K. *Planta Med.* **1982**, *46*, 162.
- Nishimura, T.; Kometani, T.; Okada, S.; Kobayashi, Y.; Fukumoto, S. Inhibitory effects of hesperidin glycosides on precipitation of hesperidin. J. Jpn. Soc. Food Sci. Technol. 1998, 45, 186–191.
- Park, G. L.; Avery, S. M.; Byers, J. L.; Nelson, D. B. Identification of bioflavonoids from citrus. *Food Technol.* **1983**, *37*, 98–105.
- Pinka, J.; Lavie, O.; Chorin, M. Phytochemistry 1968, 7, 169.

- Ranganna, S.; Govindarajan, V. S.; Ramana, K. V. Citrus fruits. Part II. Chemistry, technology, and quality evaluation. B. Technology. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **1983**, *19*, 1–98.
- Sinclair, W. B. Products from lemons and other citrus fruits. *The Biochemistry and Physiology of the Lemon and Other Citrus Fruits*; University of California Press: Oakland, CA, 1984; pp 711–789.
- Sugiyama, S.; Umehara, K.; Kuroyanagi, M.; Ueno, A.; Taki, T. Studies on the differentiation inducers of myeloid leukemic cells from *Citrus* species. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1993**, *41*, 714–719.
- Swingle, W. T. The botany of citrus and its wild relatives. In *The Citrus Industry*; Webber, H. J., Batchelor, L. D., Eds.; University of California Press: Berkeley, CA, 1967; Vol. I, pp 190–430.
- Tanaka, T. Misunderstanding with regards citrus classification and nomenclature. *Bull. Univ. Osaka Prefect., Ser. B* **1969**, *21*, 139–145.
- Ting, S. V.; Rouseff, R. L.; Dougherty, M. H.; Attaway, J. A. Determination of some methoxylated flavones in citrus juices by high performance liquid chromatography. *J. Food Sci.* **1979**, *43*, 69–71.
- Tsuchida, T.; Yamamoto, T.; Yamamoto, K.; Hitomi, N.; Kosaka, N.; Okada, M.; Komatsu, K.; Namba, T. Study on the botanical origins and the quality evaluation of crude drugs derived from *Citrus* and related genera (III). Chemical constituents of peels of *Citrus, Fortunella* and *Poncirus. Nat. Med. (Tokyo)* **1997**, *51*, 205–223.
- Vandercook, C. E.; Stevenson, R. G. Lemon juice composition. Identification of the major phenolic compounds and estimation by paper chromatography. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1966, 14, 450–454.
- Vandercook, C. E.; Tisserat, B. Flavonoid changes in developing lemons grown *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *Phytochemistry* **1989**, 28, 799–803.
- Voirin, B. UV spectral differentiation of 5-hydroxy and 5-hydroxy-3-methoxyflavones with mono-(4'), di-(3',4') or tri-(3',4',5')-substituted B rings. *Phytochemistry* **1983**, *22*, 2107–2145.
- Yamamoto, M.; Matsumoto, R.; late Yamada, Y. Varietal difference of juice and shoot limonoids concentration in *Citrus* and the relationship between shoot and juice limonin concentration. *Bull. Natl. Inst. Fruit Tree Sci.* **1988**, *30– 31*, 25–37.

Received for review January 24, 2000. Revised manuscript received June 7, 2000. Accepted June 8, 2000. This work was supported by the Program for Promotion of Basic Research Activities for Innovative Biosciences from the Bio-oriented Technology Research Advancement Institution.

JF0001000